

H M SIEM



EBOOK



Introduction

Today, many companies want to modernize their SOC by moving their SIEM to the cloud. That means the vast majority of our customers are replacing a legacy solution — often an on-premises one — with Devo. The primary driving forces behind these migrations are usually cost and scalability. Legacy solutions often are prohibitively expensive to maintain effectively and/or cannot scale to the levels of data ingestion modern businesses require. Therefore, the majority of our engagements are "Devo migrations" rather than "Devo installations."

The Devo technical services team has helped hundreds of customers migrate successfully from legacy solutions.

Usually, new Devo customers are migrating from Splunk, Elastic, QRadar or ArcSight. Regardless of the incumbent solution, we have founde hasi grationr can be categoried(i)-12 (n)-1.1 (t)13.3 ((o)-14 n)-12(s o)-3.5(f t)-14.2we m ajor(t)-34.2(s o)-3.5(f t)-34.2(s o)-3.5(f t)-34.2(s o)-3.5(f t)-34.2(s o)-3.5(f t)-34.2(s o)-3.5(f t)-34.2(s o)-3.5(f t)-34.2(s o)-34.2(s o)-34.2(s

c fc M fcf:

- · Most expensive and time-consuming method
- · Only provides the same overall business value as the legacy product
- This approach may be impossible since not all legacy products allow visibility into how their out-of-the-box detections function

The second migration method is known as al w-based. This option is often the choice of customers who are primarily interested in the value and use cases a new SIEM can bring to their SOC, rather than just copying existing functionality and use cases from their legacy product. Customers who prefer value-based migrations generally are dissatisfied with the overall performance of their existing SIEM, especially if it has been compromised.

The primary goal of this migration type is to extract the maximum value out of the native capability of the new SIEM by creating a new protection profile for the organization. This approach does slightly increase the risk to the business because the new solution may not have an exact 1-to-1 match with all the legacy SIEM's use cases but offers a higher protection profile and superior value overall.

From an implementation timeline perspective, this approach generally is significantly faster and less expensive than a carbon copy migration because it does not require an extensive services engagement to copy existing content. Value-based migrations generally take about half the time of carbon copy migrations. They are best for customers with a tight timeline for migrating to a new solution

uf⊠-B f⊠ M P :

- Most expensive and time-consuming method
- Only provides the same overall business value as the legacy product

uf⊠-B f⊠ M Pc P:

- · Could increase business risk if all use cases are not covered
- · Could require more training time for end users

Execution Methods

Once a customer has decided which migration type is best for their business, the next decision is which execution method to use. The least risky execution method is a Superior with their new SIEM alongside their legacy product for between 30 and 180 days. This provides time for the customer to validate their new SIEM against the legacy solution, minimizing business risk.

Another key benefit of a parallel execution is it can reduce or eliminate the need to migrate historical data for compliance purposes. Historical data migration often is a very time-consuming and expensive process. A parallel migration does require advanced budgetary and staffing planning. The customer needs to decide to move off their legacy SIEM in advance of its license expiration and to budget for the parallel operation of both products for the desired time.

P S⊠ES⊠u PP:

- Lowest business risk
- · Reduces or eliminates the need for historical data migration

P MEMu PcP:

- · Requires advanced planning and budgeting
- Increased cost to run both products in parallel
- · Cannot be done for customers with just a short time before their legacy SIEM license expires


The second method of executing a SIEM migration is the u method. This involves performing a hard cutover from the legacy product to the new SIEM without parallel operation.

A cutover execution is faster but dramatically increases business risk due to issues that may arise in the data source redirection process. It also does not allow the customer to benchmark their new SIEM against the legacy solution to ensure the new product is performing as expected or to assess the overall protection profile the new SIEM provides. Due to the increased risk of this migration type, Devo strongly discourages this approach unless a parallel migration is not possible for schedule or cost reasons.



The 4-Step Devo Migration Process

Throughout hundreds of SIEM migrations, the Devo technical services team has developed a four-step process to ensure success with whichever migration type and execution method you choose.



SEP 1: The process begins by achieving a complete understanding of what the customer wants to accomplish with their SIEM migration. This includes understanding timelines, budget, migration type, and execution method. The outcome of this step should be a mutually agreed-upon plan for what the success of the migration means to their organization.

In a carbon copy migration, success may be a 1-to-1 mapping of the legacy SIEM to Devo. In a value-based migration, success may be a set of functional use cases or scalability tests to validate the new implementation. Regardless of the criteria, the customer and Devo teams must be aligned on the definition of success and understand each other's roles and responsibilities to ensure success. To achieve a successful migration, a best practice is to identify experts from Devo and the customer to serve as the project manager and technical lead. These individuals will be responsible for coordinating and executing the remaining steps.

C \$ OMER	DE O
Provide list of data sources	Develop data ingestion plan
Identify any content to be migrated	Provide specs for on-premises components
Provide queries for alerts to be migrated	Review source list and provide feedback and recommendations
Provide screenshots of dashboards	Create mapping of legacy content to Devo
Provide use case details	Agree upon completion criteriaDevelop dat @Tm@rovide use case details@jETE© .3@

S EP 2: Next comes the work of adding your data sources to Devo. This requires the project team to collaborate and prioritize sources based on business value and anticipated volume and develop a source ingestion strategy for pulling in the data from each source. When executing a parallel migration, the data sources will be ingested into both SIEMs simultaneously. This gives customers time to validate the performance and parsing of the data before cutting off their legacy SIEM.

3: This is where customers begin to extract significant value out of Devo. It also is the part of the project where the process diverges depending upon whether it is a carbon copy or value-based migration. For customers who select the latter method, this step involves the deployment and activation of Devo's native content including out-of-the-box alerts, dashboards and applications.

Mab Ange

At this point, your head is probably swimming with all of the different options, approaches, benefits and challenges of executing a SIEM migration. The main question that every customer wants to ask is "OK, but really how hard is it?" SIEMs are complex software (and occasionally hardware) that are a vital component of an organization's security and network operations. Ripping out and replacing such a critical component is never easy. Any vendor that claims the process will be completely pain-free is not being honest. With that said, the process often is less painful than many expect, and there are things that you can do now to set yourself up for as pain-free an experience as possible.



